

annually on your tools of production is fair or at least is any fairer than a sales tax that...on everything and everybody. And I'm open to that discussion by anybody if they would like to make that point. With that, Mr. Clerk, I would like permission to withdraw both of those amendments that are coming up.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendments are withdrawn. Thank you, Senator Wehrbein.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have to Senator Hall and Will's amendment is by Senator Moore. Senator, I have AM...

SENATOR MOORE: Pass over.

SPEAKER BAACK: It is passed over.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Dierks has the next amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Dierks.

SENATOR DIERKS: Mr. Speaker, I would like to pass over that amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: It is passed over.

CLERK: Senator Wehrbein, yours is the next. Senator, AM1826.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. This is on intangibles.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Somewhere...somewhere I have how many states have intangibles. I am serious about this and I'm not going to take a lot of time but this will come back. But I can't, once again, understand why we don't have more discussion on intangibles. Figures I have say there's \$114 billion of intangibles in Nebraska and I'm sure that's a wild guess. But why, when everyone is comfortable with taxing the tools of production of agriculture and business, ag processing, any other tools of business, why do we not tax the tools of the service economy, if you want, or others? Why do we just automatically throw that out? And I've noticed no one has even picked up the point to defend the lack of intangible...intangible tax. I raised the issue an hour ago, no one has defended that point that we have exempted them. We quietly do. And I will be