

SENATOR HALL: Right.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, obviously, if, indeed, the body were to reconsider the Schellpeper amendment, the Lamb amendment would be in the bill in its entirety.

SENATOR HALL: No, the bill would be back in the form that it was prior to the Schellpeper amendment being adopted, which would be the 2 percent surcharge, the collection fee, and the various taxes that Senator Lamb...

SENATOR MOORE: Well, you know, Senator Lamb disagreed, but if we repeal the Schellpeper amendment, we are back to square one as if nothing happened and the total Lamb amendment is in the bill. Yeah, I guess I don't know who else to ask that question. Joanne Pepperl doesn't have a microphone or...

SENATOR HALL: No, what Senator Lamb was talking about was that the depreciation went from two to five, it is still in there. The rate is just changed, but the money that it raises is still in there. So I mean, the only question is, is it 2 percent or is it 1.5. Irregardless, it is in there and raises approximately \$40 million.

SENATOR MOORE: Okay.

SENATOR HALL: But that is over and above the sale and income, and in addition to that, you have the other portion of Howard's amendment that was adopted.

SENATOR MOORE: Although, I think that people need to understand that if you reconsider the Schellpeper amendment, you are still back to square one. Senator Lamb's amendment would...

SENATOR HALL: That is correct.

SENATOR MOORE: ...then be the bill.

SENATOR HALL: That is correct.

SENATOR MOORE: I think a lot of people...obviously, there has been a lot of emotional speeches. I am sure there will be more as the day wears on. It is one of those things I think some of the advocates of the Schellpeper plan need to understand that it