

Lamb also included in his original proposal or not in his original proposal, but in his amendment was to include the Natural Resources Commission. But the difference and the primary difference as you go on, gets into that what would constitute being Section 2 on page 2 starting with line 1, where it talks about the Commission shall make such a designation by adopting resolutions specifying the upper and lower reaches of that that is to be designated, establish the reasons for that designation and then ultimately be the controlling entity that decides what should be the proper plan placed and turn that over to the counties and have them adopt such a plan rather than the counties being the initiating entity. I think then what we've got is a statewide policy where we've got a statewide interest where the Natural Resources Commission which we've already designated as being someone of that concern, can then have that oversight rather than the turning it down to the counties where the county says, yes, we have some waterway that we think deserves to be protected, which they can do under zoning ordinances right now. They have that authority to identify that so we're not usurping this specific zoning authority, they don't even have to designate it as a scenic waterway. Through zoning they can provide protections as it is, but what we've got is a situation where many of these streams or rivers, whichever they may be, as they flow along they cross in almost every case many different political subdivisions and whether be at the county or city level and if we're actually going to provide some kind of a statewide policy protection, we need a statewide body who is overseeing that particular process, establishing those standards, establishing those guidelines and then providing some oversight for that activity. So it falls through in the same concept Senator Lamb said before, this wasn't a Niobrara bill, this is a statewide bill, and it is, and Niobrara is probably going to end up have...being or what we're doing is probably going to be usurped by what the federal statutes are going to supersede us and establish the policy for Niobrara. What we're looking at is the other streams and rivers around the state. I assume the process would be such that if some local residents decided they wanted a stream or river designated, they'd go to the Natural Resources Commission, petition to them to come and look at this particular reach of a given river and then to work them and provide public input. We have hearings built into the process and the like, but now we've got a statewide process rather than a county, by county, by county. I know there is several other adjusting amendments to my amendment coming forth, so I will end with my opening remarks and then address and/or