

May 15, 1991

LB 850

talking two different issues now then.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Right, Senator. My point is this and I want to emphasize, I feel very strongly and I believe the court will find that when the state takes control...if the state takes...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHMIT: ...control of my farm, the state has an ob...for a purpose, for public purpose, then the state must manage that properly. Number one, we know that Mr. Johnson stole, outright stole 1,300,000. The claim purports to be able to prove that another \$90 million plus were not realized because of insider transactions. It's my argument that we have a responsibility because our agent didn't handle the money properly and, in fact, stole some for himself.

SENATOR NELSON: Thank you. I just have one little comment. What are you going to do when the federal government takes your wetlands?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I'm fighting that also, Senator.

SENATOR NELSON: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Time. Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, Senator Schmit, I would also have a question. Refresh my memory what changes we had to make in the earlier amendment, what changes in law we would have to make that you would have to look for a vehicle for later then.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, the...I do not have the other amendment up here. Maybe I can get it. But there was substantive language that the Attorney General referred to and, as we know, although we do it many times, it's only when we're challenged that we have difficulty and we do not want that kind of a challenge, but there is substantive language which addressed to the issue of the how, and the why, and the wherefore, and then there was an actual appropriation. And what the Attorney General has said is that we cannot provide substantive changes in an appropriation bill, that has to be in a separate bill. So I...we have to look around, Senator Morrissey, and find that kind of a bill and we will do that if we are successful in getting the money in here.