

off, including the real estate, I believe, a number of things, because that, too. Well, the amendment I have is another option which whatever we enact will return the laws exactly as they are today as of January 1, 1992. Which means that there will be an interim period during this year in which conceivably we have a constitutional statute, at least an alternative, of not shifting the 90 million to real property tax or an alternative of putting it all back on with those ramifications, but if we return the law as it is today, including that amendment would strike Senator Hall's amendment, might occur on Select File because I don't know what all is going to happen. There is other amendments today. But then we will, in essence, force what is what I thought a lot of people were interested in. We will have, in effect, forced everybody to be concerned in 1992 of getting the whole issue resolved. It does it just as effectively. The advantage of doing it that way is those who are concerned about getting 25 votes to again get exempt, or those who are concerned about getting 25 votes to again be put on...or not to be put on the tax rolls, whichever the case may be, will not have that same fear. We know what we have today will demand...we know what we have in the way of current law will demand action next session. There is just no question about it, but if we go the route of the amendment I have, if that will remove the concern that I think what most people have is that if it's all on, I may not get 25 votes to get it all off or if it's all off and we can't get 25 votes to put it on, then it seems to me that it, I don't like the word, but it tends to level the playing field. Certainly it will keep everybody's interest. So to be consistent with the one position, if you want to put everything on, then politically I can't think of any justification for leaving Senator Hall's amendment, that is the personal property covered by Senator Hall's amendment off. There is just no logic to it. If you want to go the route of putting everything...take everything off in the future, then, obviously, you can reject this and then you should take everything off with some amendment that will be coming along, or I would suggest a more reasonable option, it attains everything that people want to do is the adoption of the amendment which just returns the law...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...as it is now on January 1, that will keep the interest up and keeps this a consistent position of everybody's interest being, across the state, being involved