

family members, or grandparent, or stepparent that you reduce...you reduce the parental involvement, or reduce the argument of parental rights versus the minor's rights. And it can, I think, jeopardize the bill from a constitutional basis. For that reason I do rise in opposition to the amendment. Now there are other policy reasons that I think Senator Labedz and Senator Crosby have touched on. Example, Senator Crosby's question of once that adult family member has been notified, does that adult family member have any duties or obligations from that point forward, or is it simply notifying another adult family member? A parent, as we know, has duties set forth in common law, or in statute, duties to look out for the best interests of the child. I don't know that there is any duty whatsoever on the part of any of the people mentioned in the amendment. So I rise in opposition to the amendment for what I, at least my interpretation of the constitutional law regarding the matter, and also because I disagree with the policy reasons that are set forth in the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I am so happy that Senator Hillman and Senator Rasmussen are members of this body, and that they are speaking in behalf of the rights of women in general, and young women specifically. They have not forgotten the double standard that exists in this society, and the oppressiveness which constantly is brought upon women to bear. Some women have bought into that system, and have been under it so long themselves that they have adopted a masculine way of looking at everything, and they project that forward. I have to say that in the earlier exchange between Senator Rasmussen and Senator Labedz, Senator Rasmussen checkmated Senator Labedz. Senator Labedz completely missed the point. But I'm going to see if I can get her to answer a question for me, and maybe put her in check. Senator Labedz, you made an interesting observation, and I want to see if I understood it. You had said that, if a grandparent were brought this information by a grandchild, under the Hillman amendment, then the grandparent may feel a burden, in terms of having to conceal that information from the parent of the grandchild. Is that what you said?

SENATOR LABEDZ: I can't recall exactly what I said,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I mean is that the idea of it?