

not the case.

SENATOR LINDSAY: The judicial bypass mechanism would allow for a woman to obtain an abortion without consent of her parents if the court found that she was mature enough to make that decision or if a court found it was...or that if she could make that decision herself.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Suppose the court says no bypass, then are we left with what I described?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you're willing to drive young women into that set of circumstances?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Senator Chambers, I think you're taking one aspect of a problem...you take the other side of that and I think you can have horrible situations...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You will address the other side, but in response to the question that I'm asking, by supporting this bill you are willing to drive those young women that I have described into those circumstances we've discussed?

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR LINDSAY: That's...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Rasmussen followed by Senator Labeledz and then Senator Chambers. Senator Rasmussen.

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Yes, Madam President, members of the body, I rise in support of the Landis amendment. We could banter back and forth and tell stories forever about mature 19 and 18-year-olds and immature 18 and 19-year-olds, but the fact of the matter is and although I realize that we don't use a whole lot of reason and logic in this discussion, that in those states where we have had parental notification laws, a vast number if not the majority, not majority, almost all of those 17 and 18-year-olds who go through judicial bypass are deemed mature enough to make that decision in their own best interest. And if that is the fact, that the judges are making that decision in the vast majority of the cases, it seems to me that it to