

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because maybe I'm misunderstanding. Senator Robak, did the Supreme Court rule this statute, that you mentioned related to that commission, to be unconstitutional?

SENATOR ROBAK: Not yet.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then that statute is still constitutional. It's still on the books as the law, is that correct?

SENATOR ROBAK: That's right, that's right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, the Supreme Court...

SENATOR ROBAK: But...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and it's not to cut you off, but I only have less than a minute now. The Supreme Court is trying to intimidate the Legislature, and it seems that they have succeeded again. We are dealing with political issues here, and I'm giving the kind of presentation I am this morning because I want the record to reveal, if anybody reads it in the future, that this issue was raised, and it will be shown that there are not substantive arguments on the other side of the question. Everything on that side is we can't do this because it hurts this location, or that location. But as far as the complexity of the issue, that's ignored. If it goes to the validity of the system as it exists now,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that is ignored.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. I just got here, so I'm trying to get caught up on what's been happening. And I think where I've been is relevant to today's debate. I just got out of a trial, it was a short trial, about an hour and a half. Was certified for trial, let me back up. It was filed March 27, 1990, a very simple divorce trial. It was certified for trial by the lawyers, we said it's ready to go to trial, you can call us any day, June 20, 1990. It's been almost a year. The lawyers have been ready, the case has been ready to go, but the litigants can't get into court because