

Beutler, it's been postponed year, after year, after year. But a year from now, if the total package includes merging the 5th district with the some other district, I can live with that. But it of and by itself to accomplish the means that Senator Beutler is trying to do, I cannot support at this time, even though I agree that we need to do a better job of distributing the work load out across the state, and as we do that we need to look at some other things that are maybe a little more intangible than just pure caseload, I think we need to do that. And I certainly will do all I can to assist Senator Beutler in making sure this does, indeed, finally occur, because it is long overdue. But to solve it with Senator Beutler's amendment is not the right way to do it. With that, I oppose Senator Beutler's amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, as I listen to Senator Beutler repeat the history of the last decades over, and I rather suspect that somebody else, ten years from now, probably will be making the same speech again, except it will cover 20 years, because I, like Senator Beutler, I've heard all the promises. I'd make another suggestion. I asked Senator Beutler, it probably isn't conceivable, because let's give in, we're going to go two more judges, even though the statistics very plainly show that's not necessary. Let's acknowledge that politically that's what's going to happen. Let's put in an effective date for Senator Beutler's plan with the one additional judge, lose \$100,000 savings, make it effective January 1, 1993. Then all these folks that for the decade and a half that have been promising how they're going to come in with a new plan, let's have one in place, they can come in and explain how it ought to be changed, make a lot more sense. There's a great deal of logic, total logic, as a matter fact, to what Senator Beutler is proposing, it's only one piece of the whole area, of the whole state, but makes a great deal of sense. The problem is you never can get up and make these kind of presentations that Senator Beutler has made without being perceived to be attacking the area that is short. That's not the purpose at all, never been the purpose any time it's come up. But it's easier to add another judge or two than it is to change the boundaries, that's what always happens. And it no doubt it will happen again. I see, I don't want to make adverse comments about the Bar, but...State Bar Association, but I'll bet anything that they will never come in with a plan that