

lawyers, these are the lawyers doing this, this is great, the lawyers said we'll have this a...we'll have this commission, and whenever there's a vacancy in one district, and they really don't need the judge there, we'll switch it to another district. And then if Beutler ever comes back he won't have anything to talk about, because we have a system set up anyway. So, new system set up, and what happens, it works, by golly it works. And so what do the lawyers say now? Their system works, to a certain extent. And they say, oh, this is not constitutional, this is not constitutional, and they file a lawsuit, the very bill that the judges and the lawyers brought to get rid of redistricting they now declared to be unconstitutional. If the judges and the lawyers can't give you a constitutional bill, who can? So now they're doing in that system completely, you just that with the Robak amendment, I think. You just did in that system completely. So now we're back, not only have we...not only have we stopped progress, we've retrogressed. We've now gone back to 1979, you don't have any way of dealing with the lopsided districts that exist, you don't have any way of dealing with them, unless you adopt this amendment and reject the Robak amendment, which would leave that commission in place to deal with vacancies and continue to put some pressure on the system. You let this bill go the way it is, there will be no pressure on the system. They'll continue to do the least possible they have to do. Well,...

PRESIDENT MOUL: The call is raised, by the way.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I don't want to filibuster, I don't want to say twice everything. I would ask you just do me one favor, just look at those statistics, just look at what the work loads, that I've handed out to you, what they are in the 5th and 21st district now, how embarrassing it is. Look at what it is once you combine the districts, there are still 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8...8 districts that have significantly higher work loads. I'd ask you just to reconsider, in light of the history I've just given you, reconsider what kind of message you want to give to the people of the state, in terms of efficiency, to the judicial system in terms of what you want to see in terms of an efficient judiciary, and just let's do ourselves a favor, let's stop throwing money down a rat hole where we don't need to. I mean you, and I, and all of us, we have our arguments about whether one purpose is as good as another purpose, and we disagree on those matters. But, folks, folks, if you really look at it, I don't think there's anybody that can argue that there is any