

describing their work, and that term is environmental health specialist. The change in the determination of the name affects the fee situation, because it is felt that that name, better reflecting the work that they do, will have more people become registered under that, and will thus pay more fees and will deal with the cost factor. There's no particular requirements other than somebody has to be registered, some cities require it of their employees, but the state doesn't require it, so it's a voluntary action. And so one of the things that this bill does is change the name from sanitarian to environmental health specialist, and it is felt that that would better reflect the situation and increase the number of people that register under that provision. We have a number of changes, the last remaining part of this amendment deals with technical problems we've had in cancer research, cancer registry. First off, we require lab test...lab results of certain cervical cancer sent to the Department of Health and to federal health research institutes to better track the problem in cervical cancer. This is a reporting requirement so that we can comply with some requirements in that area. We also require reporting by VA hospitals with the cancer registry. This was inadvertently not included in the past, and to have a full complement of information of the cancer registry we need to include that change. And then finally on the cancer registry it was inadvertently questionable about the language allowing for access to cancer registry for scientific and medical research. The whole point of the cancer registry was to have information about cancer so we could research and solve some problems with it. The committee heard the testimony in these areas and felt that we needed to obviously clarify that situation. So this amendment would clarify that medical and scientific research would have access, under conditions outlined in the bill, to the cancer registry information, which was the original purpose of the registry. So, with that, I'd be happy to answer questions. But these are more or less several items brought to the committee dealing with technical problems in the operation of the Health Department, again it deals with a Cash Fund change to better deal with accounting practice, deals with a change in the range of fees that are allowed to better cover the costs of the operation, it deals with the sanitarian name change to environmental health specialist, and it deals with a number of changes in cancer in terms of reporting and the registry to make some improvements that have been a problem in the past. With that, again, I'd be happy to answer questions.