

from them is that 343 people were served for a total of 130,000 people in all and that averages out to be like \$4,500 a person and if that report is true and it may be she was just giving the information on disabled only, that...I just want to make a point here and that is that would be less than a two-month stay in an institution. And so I'm just proving to you, making my point again that in-home services is much cheaper, usually, as long as it is the appropriate placement. Senator Wesely said 700 people in the three different waivers for children, aged and disabled, I think, is...I don't know how many that was totally served then. But I have a question I would like to ask Senator Moore now again. Senator Moore, on page 104, when we're in the Department of Social Services program we...I see an unexpended 1991 balance of \$10 million. What is that \$10 million? Would that be money that would have been or could have been utilized in the Medicaid waiver process? You shake your head yes. Do you have any...can you tell me why we're not utilizing that waiver to the extent that we could be using it if we turned back \$10 million and only served that many people and yet the cost averages out to be so much lower than institutionalization?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Senator Smith, there has been a concern. I'm not going to give you a direct answer to that because I'm not going to say the cost, but there's been an ongoing concern about the waiver process, about the maintenance of effort, for one, on the state; two, what happens when the waiver money goes away, and things like that. It's a more generic answer to that question.

SENATOR SMITH: But can't we keep applying for the waiver and then we can continue to use it, can't we, the money?

SENATOR MOORE: As long as the money is there.

SENATOR SMITH: So what you're doing is the money is lapsing back and then it just is a one-time...it becomes one-time money and it's going to be spent. Is that what you're saying?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, the \$10 million you're talking about is not directly related to waiver. Could it be...

SENATOR SMITH: It isn't. All right, that's what I was asking.

SENATOR MOORE: It isn't directly related to it, no.