

and promises not kept. This promise was made, was made by the Governor. It was made by the Compact Commissioners and a vote. It was made by every official link to this project all through the process. It was made. This promise was made and now we're backing away from it. The argument that we can't define community, all you have to do is read the documentation of this process. Community is well defined within the documentation of this process. Community is defined as county. The Citizens Advisory Committee, at the front end of this process, identified community as the county. Title 194 regulations identify the community as the county. In money distribution, the community is defined as the county. The county commissioners were always the people addressed by state agencies to discuss the distribution of the money. I have a letter here from the Village Board of Trustees of Butte, Butte, Nebraska, the folks that would prefer that the site be built. The letter is written to the Boyd County Board of Supervisors. On November 23, 1988, it states, in July, '88, the village board of Butte voted to have a study done in Boyd County on the low-level radioactive waste site. It is the feeling of the village board that more information must be obtained about the waste site before the county makes a decision. Community is well defined. All you have to do is do your research through all the documentation involved in this process. Community is clearly defined as county. They are not going to put a facility in a town. A town board or city council should have no jurisdiction unless it's within their city limits. It is within the limits of a county. The county commissioners have jurisdiction. Each and every one of these county commissioners groups was guaranteed that if they submitted their name for study that they would be able to withdraw at any time, take your name out at any time. This is just for study. This is no commitment. But later that was changed. Once your name was in, if we like you, you're it. That's wrong. That's the government and the people involved in representing the government deceiving the people, giving them guarantees, we guarantee you this protection and then we take it away from them. How many times does that happen in this state? And how similar is this issue to other issues? We're not talking about life savings that these folks have that they were guaranteed were protected and then ripped from them, the guarantees were torn from them. We're talking about their lives. Now you put a value on that, their lifestyle. Whether these fears are justified or not, you are ruining these people's lives, their present lives right now, not their life savings that they're looking forward to retirement, their present lives