outset, will not ultimately make this legislation worthy of passage. And so, well, they need a little more time. Let me just tell you basically this, we just dealt with LB 256, the mammography bill, dealing with how we might better prevent cancer. Well, the better way to prevent cancer is not to have individuals utilizing tobacco products, that that's the best prevention we could take, least costly in the long run, and obviously the best for the health of the people of this state. As Senator Conway lights up and others utilize tobacco products, I have no objection to individuals choosing to use it. But, obviously, if the goal is to save health costs, to save the health of the people of the state, prevention is helpful, mammographies are helpful. But not having the problem in the first place would be the best way to go, and thus trying to encourage the utilization of tobacco, through the broadening of the ability of free samples to be distributed, seems to me to be a step backward, as we've taken a step forward, just a few minutes ago, with the passage or the advancement of LB 256 to try and head off cancer at an earlier point in the process. Well, let's take the next step beyond that and not have to have so many cases identified in the first place, by not utilizing the tobacco products to the extent that they are. So it's ironic that 256 came up just before this bill. But it does make the point that the problems of this society, dealing with cancer, dealing with the impact of tobacco products, or impacts we're paying...I don't know how much total amount we're spending in health care costs attributed, but I saw one time that for each pack of cigarettes smoked it was close to $2 in health care costs affiliated with it. The society, our society in general pays for that, one way or the other, through higher health insurance benefits, through higher taxes, as we pay for low income health care needs. We, obviously I think, have to reckon with the impacts of our use of these products. And I certainly would encourage us to move forward with Senator Dierks and ultimately kill the bill. Despite the time we're taking now on these amendments, I know that they are sincere in efforts to improve the bill. Ultimately, the bill cannot be improved to a point where I believe it should be passed. It ought to be killed, no matter what committee amendments, or amendments to the committee amendments are adopted.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Mr. Clerk, you have an amendment on the desk.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Chambers would move to amend