

May 8, 1991

LB 577

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Didn't the Page bring it?

CLERK: I have several.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I just sent one up by the Page.

CLERK: The latest version, okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

CLERK: All right, then, on page 2, first line of Section 8, strike "two or more judgments are" and insert "a judgment is" and conform the related language to this amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and I will explain what that amendment means. First of all, the part of conforming the related language, there is following language to what is in the bill right now as contained in Senator Baack's amendment, which would be plural when it makes reference to judgments. So rather than have the time to find all of those places and insert a singular word, where currently there is a plural word, I want the amendment that I am offering to make it clear that if my amendment is adopted, all of the plural words will be changed to singular because we are talking about one judgment. Now what the amendment would do is not give these manufacturers two violations of the law before they have a license to engage in this sampling lifted. And, remember this, even when the license is revoked, it is only for a year. So why let them violate the law twice before getting them out of this activity for one year. Before I go too much further, I am going to ask Senator Baack a question if he will answer it.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Baack, are you opposed to this amendment?

SENATOR BAACK: I was talking to someone, my understanding is you are reducing it to one judgment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BAACK: No.