

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Nelson. Senator Robinson, you are next.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I'd like to ask Senator Moore a question, please.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Moore, would you respond, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Under your amendment, how could we spend the money?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, Senator Robinson, under my amendment, it would be like many of the state agencies that are cash funded where there is cash fund authority for those agencies to spend the money, and it would be just like that for the Department of Revenue. Obviously, if we pass this and we pass the bill, there will be some things laid out in statute how the money can be spent. This amendment was not to get into that argument. All this amendment gets into is, is there ever any reporting back to the Legislature as to how the money is spent? And so...it does not get into...specifically into statute as to how the money can be spent. All it says is that this...as the bill is written it says it is not part of the revenue stream and it is not a part of the expenditures of state government. By striking that, it would become, I would assume it would become the Lottery Cash Fund in the Department of Revenue, and that would be a line, whatever the amount of money is, we know how much that comes in, but it would not be part of the General Fund balance and things like that.

SENATOR ROBINSON: So that would be...how we would spend the money would come as further statutes then, is that what you're saying.

SENATOR MOORE: Yeah, that'd come in further statute, as well as further debate today, I would guess. This does not get into how the money is spent, it only gets into how we are tracking the money and how much of it there is.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Lamb.