

May 2, 1991

LB 701, 852

those in favor say aye. Opposed no. It is advanced. LB 852.

CLERK: Mr. President, 852, no E & R amendments, Senator. Senator Withem, you had an amendment, Senator, printed on page 1660. I have a note...

SENATOR WITHEM: Pull it. I would withdraw that amendment.

SENATOR MORRISSEY PRESIDING

SENATOR MORRISSEY: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Baack would move to amend the bill. (Baack amendment AM1337 appears on page 1746 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Senator Baack.

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, Mr. Chairman and colleagues, would you tell me the Journal page. I've got to look up and see what I'm doing here. Thank you.

CLERK: 1746, Senator.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: One minute.

CLERK: Defines "family", Senator, I think.

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, if I...if I remember it, that is correct, Mr. Clerk. It does...it does define family. If you remember right, we had a discussion on General File as to whether or not we were specific enough when we said family, and we looked through the statutes and tried to come up with a better definition of family. And I'll just read to you what it says. For the definition of family, it says, for purposes of this section, member of his or her family shall mean any individual related to the employee by blood, marriage, or adoption as the employee's spouse, child, parent, brother, sister, grandchild, or grandparent, or any individual so related to the employee's spouse. And I think that more clearly defines exactly what we're talking about in family in this bill. If you remember correctly, what this bill is doing is changing the nepotism laws in the state so that they...we're upgrading those to more meet the standards that we have today. And, with that, I'd be happy to answer any questions, if there are any.