

that will bring back a report to the Legislature by January 1st of 1992. And I'm sure, in that report, there will probably be suggestions on how to use excess space at the School for the Deaf. So I see no problem with letting those buildings being used in this year's interim. I would be opposed to any long-time lease of those buildings until this planning committee meets to take an overall look at children that are placed out of the home. So I would urge your support for this amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Rasmussen, do you wish to address the amendment to the amendments?

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: What I am concerned here is that...what we are trying to get at in 638 as a whole is that bricks and mortar don't tell us what we do in terms of programs for kids and their families, that rather it is the needs of the children and their families that tell us the kind of programs we ought to come up and to tell us how we should be using our resources. And I still have some concern here that we suddenly obligate the resources that are available to us for serving children and their families that come a year from now when we try and come up with some recommendations for how to better utilize our resources in the state, that somebody will say, well, sorry you can't do that anymore because we have an obligation to somebody else, a college, so that they can use this building for their college classes. If we can somehow work this out so this amendment is sunsetted or it's only for this year or that when the recommendations come back in from the...from the planning team a year from now, that if there is more appropriate use for...on the basis of the needs of children and families, then I would be okay with it. If...if we can't do that, then I'm going to have to oppose it because I'm concerned about giving away these resources when they...when they should be identified for recognizing and serving the needs of children and their families. So I will wait and see if we can somehow sunset this or word it so that it would...that once the plan comes back in that those resources would be available again.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Rasmussen. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, and members, again, I know that there is some concerns on the part of the introducers of this legislation with regard to what the intent of the amendment is. But the intent of the amendment is nothing more