

May 1, 1991

LB 836

SENATOR WESELY: So you'd have to have four and that's quite a few, I mean...

SENATOR SMITH: Yeah, I agree with you. I'm wanting to protect the other side of it, too.

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah. I think it's...(interruption)

SENATOR SMITH: For quality care.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak to this amendment? Seeing none, Senator Lamb, do you have closing?

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Madam Chair and members, I think Senator Wesely and I understand each other. He knows that I would like to scrap a whole lot of things in here but I'm not trying to do it at this point. All I want to do is clarify what we have always understood and which was really brought about by some informal discussions here on the floor as to whether an unlicensed provider can provide for three children in addition to her own children, which has always been the way it has been, but some people here thought, no, if she has three kids, then that's her total allotment. She can't care for any more. So this amendment just clarifies the fact that the provider can care for three children in addition to her own children. So I'd ask that this amendment be adopted.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Lamb. We will now vote on the Lamb amendment. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on adoption of Senator Lamb's amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: The Lamb amendment is adopted. We will go back now to debate again on the main bill. First of all I'd like to direct the senators' attention to the south balcony. Guests today of Senator Moore are 15 fourth graders from McCool Junction and their teachers. Would you please rise and be recognized and welcome to the Chambers. Thank you. Now I'll recognize Senator Nelson followed by Senators Will, Moore and