

May 1, 1991

LB 836

I rise to support this concept and I would like to ask Senator Wesely a question if I might.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: Senator Wesely, I'm supporting this concept because I think that...I guess I'd like to hear from you what you think about, because that was a concern that we discussed last year about rural families where you may have a couple of children of your own and there was another family that maybe had a couple of children or three children and that this lady with the requirements that we had in law, they weren't able to say well one mother wants to stay home and take care of children rather than going off trying to find a job so that left the other mother free by pooling those two families of children. Is that your intent when you say different families?

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, let me clarify a couple of things. First off, we don't change any of the current consideration of what you count and what you don't count. It's the number that we're looking at that Senator Moore and Senator Lamb gave in trying to identify that we're really talking about them on the premise at one time so that...(interruption)

SENATOR SMITH: But let me just ask you another question, Don. Last year there was this same discussion.

SENATOR WESELY: Right.

SENATOR SMITH: And it was amended in my memory. Now I'm maybe remembering wrong but I thought that we did get that amended into the bill to address that concern.

SENATOR WESELY: That's right.

SENATOR WARNER: And so wouldn't this be returning it to the way we thought we had done it on the floor last year if we were to amend it to do this?

SENATOR WESELY: Right. I don't have any problem with Senator Lamb's intent of his amendment, and so I'm going to support it, but this language may not be quite right so I'm going to wait till we get it drafted right, but I don't have a problem with