

now that have been allegedly misappropriating funds? You know, in two or three days' time you can rack up 20, 30, 40 thousand bucks on American Express. What would be going on now if the people didn't ask questions? What would be going on would probably be business as usual. And the people involved, the main players are asking for business as usual. Don't ask questions, we'll provide the input, we'll provide the leadership, we'll provide the protection, keep going, change that volume for us, will ya, give us direct input appeal to the Supreme Court, will ya, it's good for us, and what's good for us is good for you. Restrict those citizens, would you, please. They ask tough questions and we don't like that, restrict them. Give them a list of...and say that that list is the only thing they can do, and then tell them the only people that can represent them is the Attorney General, the same Attorney General's office that stood up for Ray Peery in a deposition hearing. Let's do all of that because it's the right thing to do. This amendment would do one thing, put the volume back to 5 million cubic feet, where it's always been understood, where it's in state law. US Ecology submitted license application contrary to state law, change it back the way it should be, the way it was when...and they understood that. Don't give them an out here, folks. Don't take the responsibility of breaking that good faith. Why in the world would US Ecology, on their own, contrary to state law, reduce the volume of that license application? Why would they do that? Go out in the lobby and ask somebody and come back in with the proper answer. Why would US Ecology do that? Any reason? Any reasonable explanation? Contrary to state law, their application states for 2.5 or 6, whatever it is, why would they do that? Ask somebody out there, and come in and tell me because no one has been able to tell me. Can anybody on this floor answer that, why would US Ecology submit a license application that wasn't...that didn't respect our laws? Why would they submit a license application that would risk the good faith that we have shown, we, the citizens of Nebraska, shown to our sister states in this process the whole time? Why would they do that? And why would we even consider changing the law now for US Ecology? Why? Go ask somebody in the lobby, go ask somebody out there, because I haven't received a good reason. Why should a Legislature that supposedly represents the citizens of this state pass retroactive law for a corporation? Why should the people that represent the citizens of this state do something that puts those citizens at risk and benefits the corporation, an out of state corporation, no less, why should we do that? Can someone