

Are you willing to restrict the power of the citizens, the same citizens who have asked the tough questions, for US Ecology? Again, are you willing to do that for US Ecology? Yes or no? We could have done that all in one fell swoop. And you said no, the majority of you. I thank the 16 or 17, I'm gaining one every time, who fell down on the side of the citizens as opposed to those who voted for US Ecology, because that's what it was. And I am not going to apologize. Some folks have said, you've got to negotiate, you got to negotiate. Folks, I cannot do it. If you get into the process, if you read, it's obvious what's going on. I would like to ask each and every one of you that voted no on that last amendment to check out what I'm saying. Ask the people in Kansas, are you more willing to take this facility sooner, because we want to change our law that has always been understood to be 5 million. It's in state law, 5 million. Are you willing to do that? Yes or no? Will you claim bad faith? Yes or no? And, if we adopt the provisions of 827, we have accepted the responsibility for that bad faith that US Ecology provided. If you don't care, which you obviously don't, that's fine. But all I ask you to do is study it, don't just take the word of the people outside. Am I telling the truth or not? I think I am. This amendment would, if I can find it, it would return the volume back to 5 million. That's strange for someone who's supposedly an opponent of the facility, folks. But, again, I'll tell you why. We can't do it. I don't think we can do it. And if we did...do do it, we put ourself at violating the good faith effort that's been called for in this compact. The offer's been made to just let the bill go and do it on Select, between now and Select. But I'll tell you what, it's hard for me to compromise. And it's hard for me to just have one more run at you, when I have some very obvious concerns that should raise some real questions in your mind, and, in my opinion, make you say, yeah, let's do the minimum and make them prove those other points. Nobody asked for these other points, the citizens didn't, they wanted the committees up and funded. That's all they asked for. That's all we needed to do, clarify the language. So now all of a sudden we're changing the volume to US Ecology's benefit. Now, all of a sudden we're restricting the citizens' input and access, to US Ecology's benefit. Now all of a sudden we are giving direct appeal to the Supreme Court, to US Ecology's benefit. The citizens are the only ones that have asked the questions, and you want to restrict their ability to further provide the oversight that, thank God, they have provided so far. Because, if it weren't for them, where would the people be