

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Senator Johnson did ask me about that, and I also asked if we would just consider laying it over until Wednesday so he could look at my amendment, and I would have time to print it and everyone could look at it, but you do have a detailed description of the amendment. The bold language, as I handed out, the bold type is a summary, and below the summaries are the explanations of exactly what it does. Nothing hidden, it is all right there. I also asked this body Monday to wait until Wednesday, and I was opposed by Senator Johnson on that motion, and I was opposed by the body. I wanted to wait till Wednesday so I could print my amendment, but I wasn't allowed to do that. If we want to compromise, let's compromise this way. Let's do only what is needed, and all this excess baggage we can build on. We can build on during the discussion period. We agree, some things are needed. Fund the committee, get them up and organized and running, define final site for the judge, that is what is needed. The other stuff is not needed, it has not been testified to be needed in any committee. We discussed it last year, some of it, and we are discussing it again this year. The majority of the people that have been on monitoring committees oppose the bill in its present form, or in its original form. Now you think the other states aren't concerned about the reduction in the volume? Who will be the next host state? When we reach 2.5, one of those states is going to gladly welcome at a potentially earlier date, they are going to gladly welcome this process in that other state because it builds character, folks, it really does. It builds character and, oh, by the way, again, there just happens to be a uranium enrichment facility going on line in Louisiana that would increase volume markedly. So we would kick up the volume that is now coming in. Now where did I find that out? Where did I find that out about the uranium enrichment facility? From the power companies, no. The compact, no. US Ecology, no. A citizen, a citizen in my district, who knows this issue inside and out and can argue anybody in this state or compact on it. So we are trying to restrict those citizens by restricting their duties in this bill. I cannot compromise on that, folks. If you want to compromise, do what needs to be done and only what is done. And then if you can be convinced that this other excess baggage needs to be done, then we can build from that. Adopt the amendment, that is the compromise. That is the only thing that needs to be done, the minimum. Now, what else? Why should we restrict the citizens' access? Why should we do the Supreme