

critiques of the study that was done, do a little more work, if I work a little harder, maybe I can convince you that it could speed up the process, not delay it. It could speed it up. So, anyway, we will deal with that on Select. If I can get this amendment adopted, I am done. This amendment does what Senator Dierks, who represents the people up there, and myself feel needs to be done for the monitoring committees. It gets them running again. It restructures them as Senator Dierks sees fit to protect the interests of the community. It gets them funded, and it clarifies the language on final site selection that the judge needs, Judge Cheuvront, so we can continue with this process. It does not do any of the other things that are included in the original or the amended version of LB 827, things that are unneeded, and as I can tell you, I can't prove it to you, obviously, but I can tell you what I think it will do, and they are bad, not just for...not just bad. People have asked me why we don't compromise on this. Folks, it isn't a compromise thing. I guess if I have to choose, should you do something, Senator Morrissey, that is good for US Ecology and bad for the citizens of Nebraska, I see no compromise there. I really don't. I choose to do it for the citizens of Nebraska, and there are many things contained in LB 827 that are that. The Supreme Court appeal, we just discussed it. Now you just approved a bogus sociocultural report that is required by federal law; not a bogus one isn't required, but a realistic one. But now in 827 is a Supreme Court appeal on the record, so they cannot look at that report and say, was that a valid report? Yes or no. They can't look at that in that appeal. All they can look at is the record. That is bad for the citizens, bad for the citizens. If a license is granted to US Ecology, that is good for US Ecology, bad for the citizens because they did not do, and I will stand by this, they did not do an adequate study, not even close to adequate. So if a license is granted, there is one thing that we know for sure, unless it has changed now, that was not living up to the intent of the federal law and not good for the citizens of Nebraska but good for US Ecology because it makes them easier to license the facility, and we want to expedite that process for them now. Okay, if the license is denied, which is also bad for US Ecology, LB 827 gives that same appeal, direct appeal to the Supreme Court, on the record, on the record. No new information, no anything else, so if it is denied, quick access to the Supreme Court is in the favor of US Ecology. Now, what it doesn't do is change the statutes for when we have to do revocation or modification of the license, folks. It