

given all of the other constraints that we have in the budget, that we have an ongoing program that is serving the State of Nebraska well, and providing incentives for people to move to Nebraska, to come to Nebraska for their vacation purposes and, therefore, this isn't needed at this time. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Haberman, on the motion to indefinitely postpone.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, I support the kill motion. This body is going to consider cutting the budgets of the university, cutting the budgets of all state agencies. The state is in a financial crunch. People are tired of taxes being raised. Some of them are actually paying attention as to what their tax money is being spent for. And I feel at this time, or even next year, spending this sum of money for a new trail is not proper. You know, it really doesn't make any difference what other states do, they're not like Nebraska. And, quite frankly, if other states wish to spend their tax money for this sort of thing, that's fine with me, but I don't feel that we have to, or should do it in Nebraska because other states do. So I would like to have the members of this body stop and think of what it's going to look like to your constituents if they support spending this type of money for this type of project. So I would ask that the members of the body support the kill motion. And thank you very, very much.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Senator Warner, on the motion to indefinitely postpone.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I just wanted to indicate that Senator Beutler made reference to the fact that there would be a motion to reduce the General Fund appropriation for '91-92, which is filed, which would remain then in the bill the authorization of the expenditure of funds from the Trail Development Assistance Fund, but the source of those funds would only be gifts, bequests, or other contributions. One of the concerns I had, and do have with the bill, with the 100,000 being in there, is that there is nothing inappropriate about a private group acquiring this ground. And they do have... apparently have an option that they need to fulfill. But I thought it was somewhat offensive, at least to others who wish to seek the land, that tax funds would be used as a part of the acquisition cost. That time frame for that option runs out before the Legislature meets again. And by the