

dedicate one or more disposal sites for the governments' use. Can we stop them from doing that? No. You think you can, you think you can stop the Defense Department and the federal government? No, you can't. It's in our agreement, it says they can declare an emergency. They can declare an emergency and do what they have to do. What's an emergency? From this report, they talk about the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force. And they say, no comprehensive Department of Defense waste disposal program exists, and none, listen to this, none of the three services know the full extent of its low-level radioactive waste disposal problems. They've been just dumping their waste in the ground for years. Now they're going to start selling some of those bases, right. They're going to sell them. They're going to have to clean them up, costs a lot of money, and do something with that contaminated dirt and things. They have no idea yet what their problem is, none whatsoever. And they're talking about giving us the chance to volunteer to host their waste, they're giving us that chance. And then if nobody volunteers, well then folks, then they're going to force it on somebody, they're going to declare an emergency. This is an emergency when all three of your services don't have any idea what they're going to do, how much waste they've got, and what they're going to do with it. The last time we were offered that opportunity to volunteer, and if not then somebody is going to force it on you, we lost. We had the opportunity, within this compact, to volunteer to be the host of this facility and we didn't volunteer, and no one did, and then they used three criteria to force it on us. The first criteria, transportation, whose the best in transportation. Not Nebraska, we lost that. The second criteria was the environment and geography or geology. We won that simply because Kansas and Nebraska were the only ones that had a lot of data on hand, not because Oklahoma, Louisiana, or Arkansas didn't have suitable geology, you know they all do. We all know every state in this Union has a place to put something like this. But we won that simply because we had the most available data, not because they did new studies, cause they didn't. They studied the data that was available. And what was the third criteria that put us number one, generation, how much waste do you generate. They took X amount of years and averaged out your waste generation. It just so happens, it just so happens that some of the other states were sending out large amounts of waste, and just prior to the date they first started averaging their waste generation dropped dramatically, and that drew Nebraska number one in generation. We are no longer number one in generation, folks. If they looked at these same