

April 25, 1991

LB 91A, 827

authority, in any case it's a very small dollar amount so it's immaterial but it is the principal that might be of some importance. So my question is, is that correct as to the way the bill would function? And if it is, now that the money has been reduced, I'm wondering if we should adjust that provision in the bill so that it is neutral no matter which direction the funding level of LB 91 or future appropriations go.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yeah, I would...I would agree with most of your analysis except the way I read it, it wouldn't be \$250,000, it would be the full 5 million, if it's taken out of the base. Oh, okay, as far as increased spending, oh, okay. Yeah, what this would do would give additional spending authority to local school districts probably as a result of this 5 million cut and if we're going to be consistent with what we did with the 1059 lid a couple years ago, we probably need to make some sort of adjustment and exactly what that is, it may be as simple as striking that whole provision out of the bill but we need to take a look at it and I appreciate your calling that to our attention.

SPEAKER BAACK: Any other discussion on the advancement of LB 91A? Senator Lynch, do you wish to close?

SENATOR LYNCH: Waive.

SPEAKER BAACK: Waives closing. We will now proceed to vote on the advancement of LB 91A. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. We're voting on the advancement of LB 91A. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? We're voting on the advancement of LB 91A. Have you all voted? Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: Yeah, Mr. Speaker and members, there comes a time in our legislative life when we wind up with an A bill that needs one more vote and sometimes we have to call the house and sometimes we don't.

SPEAKER BAACK: Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of 91A.

SPEAKER BAACK: LB 91A advances. We will now proceed to LB 827.