

SENATOR SMITH: So what you're saying, what you're trying to get at is what you call video keno machines and there is a concern, I just wanted...

SENATOR HALL: That's what the department calls them as well too. I think that they are...

SENATOR SMITH: Well maybe privately they do that, is that it? There has been a concern, and, yea, I am aware. I've had that demonstrated in my office and I was aware of the fact that they let them have a trial run on that in Ralston for a month and, in fact, I also am aware of the fact that they had this great increase in the money that was spent in Ralston in that month, and two of the concerns that were mentioned to me as a result of that were the fact that there was a concern here that they would have to...they didn't distribute a ticket and you're addressing that here. And then the other one was that the player can't play accumulated credits or winnings, that they needed to modify the system so that they couldn't do that. Have you made an effort to get at that at all or is there any need for that do you think?

SENATOR HALL: I don't think that there is any need for that with this amendment, but I would be willing...

SENATOR SMITH: By just banning it totally?

SENATOR HALL: By banning them outright I think that...I don't think there is any way around it, but there very well could be. And if there is, I'm sure we'll see it between now and the time we reconvene in January.

SENATOR SMITH: So when I came over and talked with you about this, you said we should both be on the same side and I said, yeah, I would if we weren't in different camps usually. That's why I can't...I'm trying to make sure that I understand what we're doing.

SENATOR HALL: Yeah.

SENATOR SMITH: Because I think I should be supportive of this. At the same time is I'm wondering why you are except that you then went on...I had a question I wrote down here. Are you assuming that we're going to adopt a video lottery?