

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Is there discussion of the confirmation report as presented by Senator Coordsen? Seeing none, Senator Coordsen, do you wish to close? Waives closing. We will now proceed to vote on the confirmation report from the Business and Labor Committee. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the adoption of the confirmation report.

SPEAKER BAACK: The confirmation report is adopted. We will now go to Item 6 on the agenda, the motion by Senator Beutler.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I do have a motion to return LB 663. Senator Beutler had an amendment that appeared in yesterday's Journal and he wishes to withdraw that and substitute AM1443.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, this amendment is purely technical. It is suggested by the Bill Drafter. What they had done was to change a section of the statutes in one place, but they decided, for purposes of the lawyers reading the statutes, that it would be easier to read and less chance of a relevant reference getting lost, if they changed it in a different section. In other words, instead of changing this in the coordinating commission law, which is what this is all about, they are also changing the existing statutes on revenue bonds, so that it references back to the coordinating commission. The difference between the amendment before you right now and the one that was in the...printed in the Journal is simply that the Bill Drafter had left out one additional reference, which is now picked up by this amendment, and, hopefully, all the correct references are now in the amendment. The only thing at all that might be interpreted as a substantive change is the provision that says that all projects authorized prior to the effective date of this act shall be deemed approved, but I believe that that was understood by everybody when we made this original change. So I don't think anybody would have objection to that being clarified. So I would ask the adoption of the amendment.