

In other words, do they just look at whichever community provides the best incentives and that is where they go, is that the effect? Now the reason I am asking that is because I understand your...the committee amendment to apply where there are two or more businesses. And I am wondering what the situation is if there is just one business. Is it just, and assuming they otherwise qualify, is it just straight competition on economic incentives as to whether they go from one community to the next?

SENATOR HARTNETT: Senator Beutler, I think the reason we put that particular amendment into Section 9 was simply to restrict robbery from one community to the other. In other words, they have to keep their employment. If they are in community A...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR HARTNETT: ...and they apply for this economic development plan in some other city, they have to keep their employment at the same level for two more years in city A before they go to city B.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Before they go to city B.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah, that is the reason. There was a lot of question raised before about this, you know, about cities competing against each other, and this is simply to restrict it. And I think Senator Wickersham's amendment by changing to "its", the business itself, not the overall employment of a city has to stay at that level, the company itself. So his amendment made it much, much better.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, so even though you are not operating in two cities right now, you are operating just in one city, you cannot move to the other city unless you...well, you just couldn't move at all because, obviously, you couldn't...

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah, you can move but you would not be able to qualify for this.

SENATOR BEUTLER: You would not qualify for the incentives.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you.