

committee amendments, but you just strike the portion, Senator, that...page 23, line 2, and you strike the portion that says, and the Supreme Court shall advance all appeals ahead of all others, is that all you do?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: No. Senator, on line 3, we strike the word "advance", add the word "expedite", and then strike after "appeal" the remaining language, "ahead of all cases".

SENATOR MORRISSEY: One more time, Senator, please.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Okay, on line 3,...

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Okay.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: ...we strike the word "advance", and instead say, the Supreme Court shall expedite such appeal. And strike "ahead of all other cases."

SENATOR MORRISSEY: All right, thank you. I just wanted to say, I have another amendment I am going to offer, as soon as I get done here, and it gets...addresses one of Senator Dierks's concerns on his previous amendment, but would allow the review to go to the Supreme Court but the review shall be conducted by the court, by trial de novo, which would allow a new record, which is what I was after in my concern of the trial being conducted on the written record. It should be that a trial should be reduced to a written record and conducted strictly on that record, and I guess that shouldn't interfere with what Senator Johnson is trying to do. All right, so I guess I can support Senator Johnson's amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Morrissey. Any further discussion? Senator Dierks.

SENATOR DIERKS: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, at the risk of saying, I sold you so, I think that my original amendment would have done both of these things.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Any further discussion? Senator Rod Johnson, do you wish to close? Waives closing. We will now proceed to a vote on the amendment offered by Senator Rod Johnson. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.