

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Yes, thank you, Madam President. Members, I would have questions for Senator Wesely. The original committee amendments, and I think I'm looking at what were the original committee amendments, amendment 0764.

SENATOR WESELY: Well, I'll find it. Right, that's it.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Okay. On page 4, Section 3, line..be on line 3, it said, if an optometrist is licensed in this state and is under the supervision of an ophthalmologist residing in this state and licensed to practice, the...and it goes on to state some other things. But did we clarify this at all? To me this is a little confusing. It means...it says to me, if I look at it one way, that you're under the supervision for everything you do. If an optometrist is licensed in this state, and is under the supervision of an ophthalmologist, so it doesn't clarify to me that they only must be under the supervision for glaucoma cases that we're discussing. Now maybe I'm nitpicking, but when I read this I picked that up and I...

SENATOR WESELY: Well, there was some concern exactly along that point, Senator Morrissey. And I don't know if the Schellpeper amendment, we just adopted, helps with that or not, but there was a number of things clarifying, that need to be adopted to the committee amendment, that we will have to work on, now that the other amendment didn't pass.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Okay, and I guess I have some other questions, too. Maybe we'll...I think in...it would probably be best to let...that I'll support the bill as it is, and then review these between now and Select File and, if I come up with any problems, come to you and Senator Schellpeper, Senator Moore, and see if we can get some of these straightened out.

SENATOR WESELY: Absolutely.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Morrissey. Senator Schellpeper. Senator Schellpeper, do you wish to speak to the committee amendments?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Madam President. Yes, I support the committee amendments as amended. I think Senator Robinson had a good idea, maybe take a look at, maybe we can