

between the two would be subject to the Board of Optometry's oversight. That's just for the agreement, but the actual practice would continue to be under the respective boards.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay. And under the proposed amendment, which we're discussing right now, if there were a dispute, then the optometrists would take care of their area of dispute, under the new procedures only, just as they were doing under the present procedures.

SENATOR WESELY: A...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: And so we're only getting, as we move forward in this bill, quote...that...there is no going back and having...And then I wanted to ask that question under Senator Schellpeper's provisions. And that's where I may put you on the spot. But under Senator Schellpeper's provisions, or the original committee amendment, if we were to have new procedures, if we were to have present procedures, would ophthalmologists have anything to say in a dispute?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, under the provisions of the committee amendments, the Board of Medicine and Surgery, you would oversee the agreements again between the optometrists and the ophthalmologists. And so they would have that approval of those agreements to allow to go forward, and oversight of those agreements would be under the Board of Medicine and Surgery, under the committee amendments.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: But would they be able to go back to past procedure?

SENATOR WESELY: Oh, to go back, no, no.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: So under both proposals, the committee and/or the Moore amendment, the new procedures would only come under...would only...are the only subject of discussion, it does not go back to present procedures.

SENATOR WESELY: That's exactly right, Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, thank you. I, under that explanation, which is what I thought it was, I am going to support the Moore amendment because, as I understand it, we are discussing only two new specific procedures or at least approximately two, in