

what's underneath there.

SENATOR WESELY: The...what we're trying to do, again, is we're moving into an area of additional responsibility for optometrists. And I'm trying to look here quickly. And the top...we do, you were right. The topical ocular pharmaceutical agent is not covered by this supervision agreement. The oral would be, and I think there is that distinction to be made, but you were right, that is a new...new area.

SENATOR ROBINSON: What topical ocular pharmaceutical agents for the treatment of glaucoma?

SENATOR WESELY: I'm not sure exactly what that exactly would be. If you look on the main bill, topical...okay, topical ocular pharmaceutical agents, okay there's a little confusion here. But there is, in fact, ability to utilize that. The question is whether topical ocular pharmaceutical agents are available to be used for the treatment of glaucoma, and that is what language in the amendment looks to deal with. Let me take a look at that and get back to you on that.

SENATOR MOORE: With that, I will do my time now. Ladies and gentlemen of the Legislature, this bill is a lobbyist's dream, because nobody in here probably understands it, maybe Wesely does, Schellpeper's worked on it some, maybe Denny Byars. The rest of us, we don't know nothing hardly on this. We can go back there on both sides, either on the optometrists' side, or you're on the ophthalmologists' side, it's as simple as that. What's gone on we need to understand, we don't understand it, so because we don't understand, what we did a few years ago, in the early eighties, we created the 407 process, because we had these turf battles every year. None of us really understood. I mean how are we to make decisions on what doctors should do, on who should come under what review, under what scope somebody should have. We developed that process called the 407 process, the original bill went through that and two out of three said it was okay. The doctor, the Director of the Department of Health, who was an M.D., said no, I mean you have to make your own decision on what's right and what's wrong there. But all I can say, from my point of view, the two different review agencies, that are supposed to be impartial, said you could do this. So we introduced the bill. I introduced the bill trying to follow what the 407 process said was possible, introduced the bill. Ophthalmologists come in and say, you going to let the