

April 18, 1991

LB 503

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR ROBINSON: ...any of the drugs that, under Section 4, and he would never, as I read it, he would never really have to call the ophthalmologist, would he?

SENATOR WESELY: No, I see what...

SENATOR ROBINSON: And he does not have to call him before, is that correct?

SENATOR WESELY: That...Okay, for those, we have specifically, under this amendment, the original was the oral pharmaceuticals, and we found that we wanted to have the supervision in most of those. There were some, like aspirin, and Tylenol, or things like that, that's analgesics, that we didn't see why an optometrist would have to receive permission for an ophthalmologist's report. So that's why that's out. And anti-infective would also be like antibiotics, or something, I'm sure. It was felt that that was something that didn't need direct supervision. So those two are out, but everything else is under the supervision of the ophthalmologist.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Including those under B there? Those wouldn't be...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR ROBINSON: ...ocular pharmaceutical agents and...

SENATOR WESELY: Right, because I think that's already in current, I'll check on that, but I think that's already currently covered.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Well, I have my light on again, so I'll get back to you.

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah, okay.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Robinson. I am going to gavel again, so we can get the noise level down a little bit. Thank you. Senator Moore, followed by Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR MOORE: I would give Senator Wesely the first few seconds to finish answering the question of Senator Robinson on