

whether or not that he can afford to pay for it. Then, secondly, it does outline the duties of the district court...clerk, generally, as to how to credit and keep track of support payments. And the third thing is that it does assign provisions for children on AFDC or foster care to insure that the child support follows the child, even if the child isn't living with the person the child support order says get the support. And I think that's a good point to include in this bill. Applying for Title IV-D support services, it clarifies who can apply for help for the child, and it waives the \$1 fee, which they are currently charging in order to apply for that. It just simply waives it. The reason they waive it is because they felt that it costs more for them to have to go through the paperwork in taking care of the \$1 that it does to actually receive the dollar, so they say, let's just drop it. It deals with paternity related provisions, authorizes the county, or authorizes the attorney to file and intervene, thus clarifying their legal standing to obtain support from either absent parent, and it adds a cross-reference to whatever it's called, URESA. Other changes in the Income Withholding for Support Act, such as regarding adding the term payer, notice requirements, allocation and modification of withholding, provision for medical support, and enhancement of county and authorized attorneys ability to gather employer information to enforce orders. And then it clarifies that DMV can provide social security numbers to DSS for child support enforcement. That's basically what's in the bill. I'm offering it because we've been told, by the Department of Social Services, this one truly is important also, the amount of money that they would lose through sanctions, if they don't comply, will increase from 1 percent in the first year, to 2 percent in the second year, and to 3 percent in the third year. And by the third year it will be 1.8 million dollars that will be lost by the state, if we do not comply. I, for that reason, think it's important that we add this to Senator Schellpeper's bill, and he's been very, very supportive of my doing this, as long as it didn't cost him any money, and we're taking pains to make sure that doesn't happen with a further amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Smith. Do you wish to address the amendment to the amendment. (Smith amendment FA98 is on page 1659 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Madam President. Now what I'd like to do is address the amendment to AM1178. And you have received