

SENATOR WARNER: One minute.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: It is a benefit for those providing the fuel distribution to dealers, large and small, and, as I said, small is just and large is just in the eye of the beholder, but it is good for everyone. When you get out there broke down on the highway and it is raining, who is there to help you? It is the service stations, and they will come out and do it for you, but if you close the door on the small guys, you are going to be in trouble when you need a lot of help on a Sunday out there because a lot of those people behind those counters don't know a fan belt from a water pump, and you are going to be sitting there for a couple of days. If I'd ever see something workable in these, both of these attempts by Senator Schmit and Senator Beutler, I will support them, but I don't feel this is workable and I would urge you to defeat the amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Coordsen, followed by Senator Schimek. Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Presiding Officer and members of the body. Would Senator Beutler respond to a question, please?

SENATOR WARNER: Would Senator Beutler yield?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, sir.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you. Senator Beutler, this amendment applies to the tank fees. Is this correct?

SENATOR BEUTLER: That is what is intended.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Okay, and do any of the tank fees go into the Petroleum Release Remedial Action Fund?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Do they? Okay, well, it would appear...thank you, Senator Beutler. It would appear that while certainly the Beutler amendment is well-intended, that those fees would be raising at the same time that we are working our way through the system, and as I recall the way the current language is set up, there is an assessment on gasoline, a tax, if you would, that is