

Supreme Court to look at and examine as to whether to publish the memorandum opinion, yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: And what's the rationale for limiting these...these public...the publication of these particular decisions?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: The whole purpose behind this has been one that the true purpose of the court of appeals should be an error-correcting court, in other words, they won't be dealing, hopefully, with all of the body of common law. They won't be creating law in terms of legal literature for the state common law and precedent. Now they will have limited precedent, obviously, by the way they decide any one individual case. When they have this opinion or decision, not every decision needs to have a written opinion set out in full, that's a lengthy opinion. Many of the dissolution cases may not need a lengthy opinion. Many of the cases that deal with excessive sentence may not have to be published and, by published, we mean, as you state, put in the Nebraska reports which then becomes the precedent. These things will still be printed, however, available to the public. They will be public records and they're supposed to, by the statute, be available to the public in the manner that's determined by the Supreme Court. Those printed opinions will be on every case. And so you might have a private entity, such as West Publishing, pick it up. They may well be in the form of the advance sheets which everyone of us get here in a printed manner but they will be available. They are public records and, by the statute, available to everyone.

SENATOR ABOUD: Thank you. No further questions, Madam Chair.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Abboud. Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, Madam President and members of the body, I have a couple of questions for Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Kristensen, Section 24-215 reads, the title of volume shall be Nebraska Reports which, with the number of the volume, should be printed on the back of each volume and the reports of every case must show the name of the judge writing the opinion, the names of the judges concurring therein and the name of the judges, if any, dissenting from the opinion.