

April 10, 1991      LB 754

SENATOR HALL:      And they were part of an amendment that was brought to the committee. Your purpose is to keep the \$60 per day. The issue of the 6,000 that you mentioned, that cap, is that retained?

SENATOR MOORE:      With my amendment, yes, it is.

SENATOR HALL:      Your amendment would retain that no matter how many days, say, for, I guess they would...

SENATOR MOORE:      Yes.

SENATOR HALL:      ...purposes you would, a member would have to have at least 100 days in order to meet that \$6,000 cap or is that a cap for the entire...

SENATOR MOORE:      Total.

SENATOR HALL:      ...board.

SENATOR MOORE:      It's the total, the total board.

SENATOR HALL:      It's the total board. So how would that break down in relation to, I guess, the number of...

SENATOR MOORE:      Excuse me, I'm wrong. It is the...

SENATOR HALL:      Per individual?

SENATOR MOORE:      It's per individual.

SENATOR HALL:      Okay, so the \$6,000 cap is per individual, we're talking about...

SENATOR MOORE:      And the committee amendments take that out, I put it back in.

SENATOR HALL:      Right. I...thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and members, I would rise in support of Senator Moore's amendment. I was under the impression as he, and I think I led the committee to believe that the committee amendments as they were drafted would strike the 6,000 which my understanding was it dealt with the entire board. Now we've found out that it is per individually, excuse me, per individual member on the board