

to the end of the bill where it still remains as a policy statement but does not cover intent of the bill. Now the reason for that is that without the amendment, without the Labeledz amendment that's being offered now, the only intent language in the bill is the Lynch amendment. And it does not have any rhyme or reason with the remainder of the bill because it does not have...or we are kind of putting the cart before the horse, it doesn't...we don't give the...or we don't solve all the problems or even those problems directed in that...in the Lynch amendment. So the body has chosen to adopt the Lynch amendment and that's fine. What we're suggesting is that this would simply move it to the end where it would not disrupt the...the bill, I guess the bill itself, by changing whatever the intent of the bill is or by trying to create intent that is not there or by stating that this is intent when it is, in fact, not the intent of the bill. Simply put, what the amendment does is moves that so it remains policy, a policy choice on the part of the body but does not affect the bill as far as being the intent portion of it. I would urge the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. For discussion on the...further discussion on the amendment by Senator Labeledz, Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, this is sound bill drafting. I'm glad that Senator Chambers and Senator Labeledz were capable of recognizing its appropriate role in the bill and to move it from the intent section to the end of the bill. I will be supporting the Labeledz amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Further discussion? Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I guess, Mr. Clerk, I just want a clarification. I know on the hand...I don't have the Lynch amendment on the handout available right now but I'm looking at the Journal page 1556, and, first of all for the record, I have no problem with Senator Labeledz's movement of the Lynch amendment to Section 8, I believe, I have no problem with that. I guess I'm looking for the word. I guess I'm wondering what language actually did the Legislature approve when we approved the Lynch amendment. Was it the one on 1556? And, if so, the word that's being changed would be located where?

CLERK: Senator, I need to verify, but the amendment that Senator Lynch has offered, my notes indicate it's 1555 of the