

SENATOR LABEDZ: The way my amendment would read, Senator Chambers, the Legislature finds and declares that (a) immature minors often lack the ability to make fully informed choices and take account of both immediate and long-range consequences. How specific can you get?

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well that's specific but it doesn't give a definition of what an immature minor is. If the thing that determines that a minor is immature is lacking the ability to make fully informed choices that take account of both immediate and long-range consequences, that qualifying language applies to a lot of adults in this Chamber. So if that qualifying language is what determines immaturity, then we ought to drop the word "minor" and put immature persons. Would you be willing to do that? Drop minors and put immature persons. Then we include whoever...

SENATOR LABEDZ: That would include anybody over 19?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It would include anybody who is immature because you're talking about lack of maturity. Or do you think only minors... (interruption)

SENATOR LABEDZ: I don't think that's pertinent to LB 425 to make it immature persons. We're talking about minors when it comes to parental notification, not anyone over the age of 19.

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'll put my light on again.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Landis, for discussion on the Labeledz amendment.

SENATOR LANDIS: Would Senator Labeledz yield to a question?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Labeledz, would you respond, please.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Labeledz, in examining your language on 1572 there is just one short sentence of subsection 3. It says, the Legislature further finds and declares that parental