

delay this debate which is what it would do. I'm saying vote it up or vote it down on the basis of the issue. But it is not the proper forum. Senator Beutler is convoluting the system, as I mentioned. He is introducing an amendment that should not be introduced as an amendment. It does a lot of different things. It gets away from the primary thrust of the bill which is to provide local control as much as possible. The original bill, or the original amendment that he offered and the explanation that was circulated in conjunction with the last sentence I thought was interesting. Says finally, if there is any conflict between this amendment and the other provisions of LB 511, the provisions of this amendment will supersede those of LB 511. However, now as I understand, and I'm not quite clear on this, he is amending his amendment so that my amendment is stricken which is at this point the bill, so it would strike the bill and substitute his bill for it.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR LAMB: I submit to you that is not the way to do it. If you don't like the bill, vote against it. Don't do what is trying to be done here which should not be done. I ask you to vote against the Beutler amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Senator Schmit, on the Beutler amendment. Senator Morrissey, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise to support the Beutler amendment because I think it's a much more comprehensive way to do things. Senator Lamb complains that we are possibly subverting the process and that may be right. We have a lot of ways to subvert the process, pulling bills from committee. Some would say that is subverting the process. Others would say it isn't. It's all within the rules as is this amendment. The process allows a lot of leeway, and I have some of the same concerns that Senator Conway talked about on his bracket motion on the disjointed efforts that could go on along some of these rivers. And I have read Senator Beutler's amendment and think it is a natural comprehensive way to do things. It gives a local input with also a statewide perspective involved and, again, I also think that the Game and Parks is the natural organization to look at preserving, as they preserve many wild areas in the state now. In my district, they have many game management areas. There's areas like that in, I'm sure, most of your districts, your rural districts and I