

truthful on my side, I think my amendment, which I will present to you later, is also somewhat flawed because it's been done in a hurried kind of manner. But Senator Lamb's point of view and my point of view, they do represent two totally different philosophies on how this matter should be handled. And I expect that probably the members of this Legislature are all somewhat in between those two philosophies, and I expect that you all want to hear a lot more about but you don't want to hear it on the floor today about the different kinds of protective measures that are appropriate, how they relate to our water law, how they relate to our public power law, how they relate to our zoning jurisdiction law. None of these things are addressed in Senator Lamb's version and they are not addressed well enough, as well as they should be in my version. There are myriad of questions and problems out there. What Senator Conway is suggesting is entirely appropriate. But I want to indicate to you again, that if somebody thinks that a version of scenic river legislation that gives almost total control to a very local jurisdiction such as a county is going to have any effect politically on what is happening in Washington, I think they're kidding themselves and I think that is poor leadership. When you have conflicting forces representing very different philosophies, what you need to do is meld those two forces together, compromise, work out the details. Senator Lamb's amendment is not a compromise on this matter. In the end, no matter how you look at it, and even with the amendments that he has suggested earlier Senator Morrissey would represent, even with those amendments, in the end, the county will control. The county will control and there is no obligation to cooperate with other counties. And that's just not the kind of compromise that is going to work in the long term. In the long term, you need to bring together the two points of view and have a real, a real compromise and I think that Senator Conway recognized that in committee when he held this bill in committee. I think the other members of the committee recognized that. I think the wisdom of rarely or almost never raising bills out of committee has shown by what is happening with this bill. If this bill goes forward in debate there will be long, long debate on it at all stages of debate, not because of a filibustering, but because you have two such different philosophies and there are really dozens of different factors such as public power, such as water that need to be worked into something that is sensible, something you'd be proud of when the day is done and the bill is passed.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.