

April 4, 1991

LB 766, 772, 810

and not voting, 5 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: LB 766 passes. We'll now go to LB 772.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 772 on Final Reading.)

SPEAKER BAACK: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 772 pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote as found on page 1507 of the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 40 ayes, 0 nays, 4 present and not voting, 5 excused and not voting, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: LB 772 passes. We'll go to LB 810.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion on the desk. Senator Wesely would move to return the bill to Select File for specific amendment, that being to strike the enacting clause.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: I'm going to relay a conversation. Mr. Speaker, members, this bill caught my eye on Select File as we...

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Wesely, are you going to eventually withdraw? Senator Abboud is not here.

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah, I'll be withdrawing it, I'm just using this.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: I had a concern about it. If you look at the bill, it does authorize first class cities to do just about anything they wish to in terms of establishing a program, or spending any money whatsoever in the area of care for the elderly, or programs or services for the elderly. And Senator Warner got up and talked about the women's commission getting into an area and duplicating current programs. And I got to thinking maybe that I ought to rise to say that in talking about this bill with Senator Abboud, he indicated the idea was that they would, in fact, the bill does provide for them to cooperate