

April 3, 1991

LB 732

appellate court went through that metamorphosis, that they did work in division and the division didn't work at all. In fact, it made matters worse.

SENATOR WARNER: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: You want to keep the Supreme Court as a collegial body, the collective thought of seven individual members with various backgrounds, various points of view. Once you put that in division you destroy that, thus the purpose for the need of three judge panels to handle the error cases and not the precedential cases. And so, yeah, it's not new ground because the Constitution allows the Supreme Court to do that. That is one of the worst alternatives for handling an emergency would be for the Supreme Court to go into division. That's the reason that if there is a problem in the appellate court, the Supreme Court has the authority and the ability to also transfer cases back to itself to handle case load. That is something that Senator Haberman and I were discussing a little bit ago but, I guess in response, I think that I could live with the words "temporary panel" because I probably agree with Senator Chambers. I don't want those to become permanent panels through the back door. I don't think it will. I don't think there is probably an amendment that I could agree to that would make Senator Chambers vote for the bill. Now if there is an amendment...

SENATOR WARNER: Time.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...cosigner, it would make me real nervous, but...thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, I have a question for Senator Moore. He's not here. Senator Lowell Johnson, as Vice Chairman of appropriations, would you take my question?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Johnson, do you yield? Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Johnson, if a state agency has a deficit appropriation, what exactly does that mean? If they come in and they have a deficit and they ask for more money.