

additional panels", that's fine. If you want to put eight, I think that would be okay, and I would be interested to see. If you'd answer me, would that be something that you'd be acceptable to? I was waiting for...I'm sorry.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Were you addressing me, Senator Kristensen?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I'm not as good at those rhetorical questions as Senator Chambers is. Maybe if I'm here a little longer, I'll catch onto his style. My question is, would that be something that would be acceptable to you?

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's something I'd like to think about. You know, already does not the Supreme Court have the power to divide into panels and...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, and that's it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...that they handle their own work load if it gets a little too great?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, that...

SENATOR BEUTLER: And to bring up district judges to fill in that panel on the Supreme Court?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Right, and that's constitutionally provided so this isn't new ground, by any means.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: This is just merely mirroring what the Constitution allows the Supreme Court to do.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. But if there is a temporary problem, if the problem is temporary, then the Supreme Court has the power to itself break into two units to deal with additional work load. In fact, I think they have done that recently and I'm not a big fan of their doing that very often, but that's an emergency or temporary escape route under the current law, is it not?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Well, this is the first time I'm going to get to say this. As a freshman senator, you weren't here for the discussion about the need for the appellate court. The