

germane because those who are on the bill and support it don't want that amendment considered. It's one thing to have the amendment before us and the strongest of arguments be given against adoption of the amendment and it's another thing to say that the Legislature cannot even consider it. The bill talks about how judges of the appellate court will be selected. The bill talks about that. This amendment deals with the method by which they are selected. This is a circular argument. It is self-evident. That which is self-evident is clear as soon as you articulate it. You cannot make it more clear. To try to make it clear only confuses it because every attempt to use words to make that which is at the bedrock of meaning more clear has to fail because the clearest method of expressing it already has been utilized. So I am going to express it again. How much time do I have, Mr. Speaker?

SPEAKER BAACK: You have about six minutes yet.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I'm going to express it again and again and again for the record. This bill talks, by its terms, about selection of judges to the appellate court. The amendment deals with a method by which judges will be selected. The bill, itself, talks about the selection of judges to the appellate court. The bill talks about the manner by which these judges are to be selected. The amendment goes to the manner by which these judges are to be selected. The amendment being offered deals with the manner by which judges will be selected for the appellate court. The bill, itself, talks about, makes specific reference to the manner by which judges will be selected for this court. The bill, at the bottom of page 4, going to the top of page 5, says, judges of the court of appeals shall be subject to the same provisions relating to selection. Judges of the appellate court, how they are to be selected is referred to in the bill by its terms. The amendment goes to the method by which judges are to be selected. How can those two things not be related? They go together like cold ham and potato salad or corn bread and baked beans or whatever combination goes together in your mind. It goes together like Senator Baack and an incorrect ruling. A foot goes into a shoe, a ladle goes into a bucket. I couldn't stand here and tell you the distance between the points of the horns of a dilemma. I can't tell you how much more more is than many. I can't tell you how large largest is, largely, largeness. How big is bigness? I don't know, but bigness is at least as big as a big piece of chalk. Sometimes on the floor of this Legislature there will come an awakening or