

April 2, 1991

LB 200

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Beutler, would you respond, please.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: Senator Beutler, is your amendment 1051? Is that the amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: I was...I was reading through the amendment and the way I understand the bill it was to fingerprint individuals, I guess, to find out their identity, whether they're being truthful or not on their application. Isn't that...is that what you interpret the bill to do?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, I don't know all the reasons why they want the fingerprinting, Senator Abboud. Well, perhaps, I assume that is part of the incentive, right.

SENATOR ABOUD: So if a person...the way I'm reading your amendment, if a person has been convicted of a felony, and has been convicted of a drug related or alcohol related felony, or misdemeanor, within a five-year period, then that person does not have to be fingerprinted?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Then that person would be fingerprinted.

SENATOR ABOUD: Would be fingerprinted.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Uh-huh.

SENATOR ABOUD: Okay. So this is an additional requirement if these people have been convicted of the charges, then notwithstanding the exemptions provided by Senator Hall's amendment they will have to be fingerprinted?

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, this really...this really deals with a different kind of categorization than Senator Hall was doing. Basically, this divides people into two groups, those who have already been licensed as of the effective date of the act and those who have not. And with regard to those who are already licensed, it says you don't have to be fingerprinted because there is no problem. We have been working with you for years and we know you're all right, we don't need to go through this