

April 2, 1991

LB 200

SENATOR WARNER: What I'm curious is how would the Racing Commission know whether or not that was true? I mean, somebody goes and checks and they use the name John Doe that they know was licensed...

SENATOR HALL: Right.

SENATOR WARNER: ...in...or fingerprinted in Tennessee three years ago...

SENATOR HALL: Right.

SENATOR WARNER: ...which you might find 10 John Does around the country.

SENATOR HALL: Right. Those app...it's my understanding those applications include other identifying information such as a Social Security number that that individual would be identified by that they're currently being identified by now when they seek a license. They have to give a permanent place of residence. They have to give other types of things like birth date, Social Security number, that do identify that person currently. They are paid wages. They do have to have withholding taken out of their checks, so they're in the system now. All this bill does is, I guess, give them one more identifying provision and the amendment just says that if that identifying provision is available currently in the system, they don't have to pay to have it done again.

SENATOR WARNER: Do you know, is there some problem with the current system that they want a fingerprint, unless there's...

SENATOR HALL: I tried to strike the fingerprinting this morning. I didn't get enough votes for it.

SENATOR WARNER: No, well, I understood. But my assumption is that there was...someone felt there was a need for the fingerprint because all the other traditional ways to identify, for some reason, wasn't working.

SENATOR HALL: My understanding of the purpose for this is to check a felony criminal record, run a felony criminal records check on these individuals prior to licensing. If they are able to show without giving prints that they have been licensed in other areas through other identifying features, such as the