

these individuals may have been fingerprinted in other states. If we have the requirement, through the passage of LB 200 that they do be fingerprinted, that it is not something that needs to be done on an annual basis. Fingerprints, unlike hair loss, as I have experienced that, don't change...or hair color, for that matter, but they stay the same no matter how long, I guess, you have them and it would become something that I think could be really a burden and would serve no purpose to require that an individual who had been fingerprinted once was in the Federal Bureau of Investigation file would not have to be fingerprinted if they functioned in 50 states. All it takes is one application, one set of fingerprints sent to the file and that takes care of it whenever a search is made. It would be a duplication that would be totally unnecessary and I think is one that probably the Bureau, themselves, would find a little onerous. So I offer this amendment. The body made the decision not to strike the issue this morning. I can and will live with that but this issue, I think, is one that should be addressed and says that, look, if you're going to be fingerprinted, we'll fingerprint you not more than once every five years. With that, I offer the amendment. I yield the balance of any time I have to Senator Smith.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Senator Hall. It won't take me very long. I had my light on and it probably won't even take that. I just wanted to reaffirm what Senator Hall said regarding the federal act and the fingerprinting simplification law regarding the fact that if you've been fingerprinted in another state, then that state...if you apply in another state or go to another state, that that state can apply to other states for the record and you do have a record then on file as to whether or not you have a criminal record. And, based on that, otherwise I would have had a little concern with the idea that how can we justify if we say that we need to be fingerprinted that...but not if you already are a current licensee. But, based on the fact that many of them are licensed in other states, that's what I think Senator Hall is trying to stress here. And I will support the amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. Senator Hall, would you